

Experiences and challenges in policy implementation

Open Science Centre, University of Jyväskylä Juuso Marttila, juuso.h.marttila@jyu.fi



Open Science Policies in JYU

JYU Publishing Policy

- <u>https://openscience.jyu.fi/en/open-access-</u> publishing/jyu-publishing-policy
- Prepared in 2020
- Self-archiving, open access publishing
- Encourages open science
- How to determine affiliation
- JYU as publisher
- Oriented in present

JYU Research Data Policy

- <u>https://openscience.jyu.fi/en/tutkimusdata/jyva</u> <u>skylan-yliopiston-tutkimusdatapolitiikka</u>
- Prepared in 2020
- FAIR principles
- Rights to research data and agreements
- Metadata
- Responsibilities
- Oriented in future

Basis for good implementation

- Have a clear vision, what you want from the policy, plan accordingly
 - Is it just showing a support to Open Science ethos or really doing something tangible?
 - Do you want to change something? Clarify something? Make things equal for all?
- Involve all stakeholders already to the planning process (administration, legal team, researchers, library)
 - Less friction in the implementation

- Needing firm enough backing from the organization (acceptance by Research Council and Vice-Rector of reseach, approved by the Rector's decision)
- Information campaign to all departments, roadshow instead of online bulletin
- Tools and support ready for implementation (CRIS, parallel publication workflows, repositories, support staff, guides...)



- Publishing policy was well in line with reality which had already matured quite a bit
 - Thus policy was viable, anchored in reality, already familiar to researchers -> it was well-received
- Data policy quite not so...
 - There are quite a few stable variables in Open Data world. Everything is in constant change.
 - Policies, requirements, tools, practices
 - Disconnect between national legistlation and international demands (e.g. from EOSC)
 - Open Data on most of the fields is far more recent and novel concept than open publishing.
 - Data policy was oriented towards future, but not all concepts were clear even to policy makers and neither were all tools ready -> big problems in the implementation of the policy.
 - Data policy had to be amended in 2022 to a bit less ambitious and bit more realistic.
 - Requirement for transfer of rights was dropped
 - Responsibilities dropped off the policy level



- Don't over-reach. Be realistic. Be Ready. Adapt.
- Follow up how the policy is implemented. Is it followed? Has something changed in national or international policies that prompts a change?
 - If policy is not followed, find out why and react
 - Either downtune the policy a bit
 - or strenghten the implementation especially with communication, support and proper tools.
- No policy should be made only because "we have to have our own policy on the issue"
 - A good policy shows a way, gives a clarity and guides stakeholdes
 - all tangible benefits than can be assessed!