## **QUestionnaire for leaders of research activities**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Organisation** |  |
| **Respondent(s) and role in organisation** |  |

### 6. Your opinion and suggestions about national open science coordination

How the national open science coordination and collaboration lead by The Federation of Finnish Learned Societies is working for researchers? What should be promoted in this coordination?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **My (our) opinion** | **Suggestions for issues to promote** |
|  |  |

### 7. Your view on barriers and needs to promote open science

**What are the greatest barriers for you in open science? Please, lets us also know the significance level and actions you see as relevant in removing the obstacles**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **OBSTACLE** | **Description** | **Significance (range 1-5, 1=not at all significant, 5=most significant**) | **Suggestions for actions to remove the obstacles** |
| **Insufficient training and instructions to exploit the potential of open science (all degrees, continuous learning)** |  |  |  |
| **Uncertainties on how to fulfill legal demands (copyrights, GDPR, agreements, licenses etc.)** |  |  |  |
| **Discipline-specific differences and practices** |  |  |  |
| **Disproportionate standards to fulfill for researchers, in comparison to available support and resources** |  |  |  |
| **Existing conflicting incentives for researchers, e.g. publishing in traditional non-open access journals** |  |  |  |
| **Obstacles of open research communication (e.g. open access to publications, efforts in popularising research) decelerate research impact.** |  |  |  |
| **Academic merit system and other assessments do not include openness** |  |  |  |
| **Funding and recourses to promote openness are insufficient** |  |  |  |
| **Other, please tell us** |  |  |  |

**Are there some changes or improvements needed on the research system or science policy level to better promote open science? Please, lets us also know the significance and actions you see as relevant.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Need for change** | **Description** | **Significance**  **(range 1-5, 1= not at all significant 5=most significant**) | **What actions do you propose?** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 8. COMMENTS

We would like to raise the following issues about the evaluation of maturity in open science:

|  |
| --- |
| **My (our) comments** |
|  |